IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2881 OF 2006

Maharashtra Suraksha Rakshak
Aghadi ... Petitioner

Versus

The Security Guards Board
for Greater Bombay and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Susheel Mahadeshwar i/by Ranjana Todankar for Petitioner.

Mr.M.S. Topkar for R. No. 1.

Mr. B.K. Ashok for R. No. 2.

Ms. Sonia Sunil for R. No. 3.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED: NOVEMBER 21, 2006

P.C.:

. It is the case of the Petitioners that Respondent No. 2 has engaged security guards whose names are listed at Exh. A. It is pointed out that Respondent No. 2 is also not registered nor the guards whose names are listed in Exh. A are exempted.

. On behalf of the Respondent No. 2 it is submitted that they are not engaging guards but have taken workers on contract basis from Respondent No. 3. The workers whose names are listed in Exh. A have applied to Respondent No. 1 for registration as per letter dated 12.9.2006 . The respondent No.

1 to consider the application and if eligible in law to register them at any rate within eight weeks from today.

. In so far as Respondent No. 2 is concerned, the Respondent No. 1 to carry out inspection and thereafter to proceed according to law. With the above observations, Petition disposed of.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**